

#

2	<p>The response is somewhat sustained within the purpose, audience, and task but may include loosely related or extraneous material; and it may have a controlling idea with an inconsistent organizational structure. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Partially focused controlling idea but insufficiently sustained or unclear ● Inconsistent use of transitional strategies with little variety ● Uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end and an inadequate introduction or conclusion ● 	<p>The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes ineffective use of sources, facts, and details. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Weakly integrated evidence from sources and erratic or irrelevant references ● Repetitive or ineffective use of elaborative techniques ● Imprecise or simplistic expression of ideas ● Inappropriate or ineffective domain-specific vocabulary ● Sentences possibly limited to simple constructions 	<p>The response demonstrates an adequate command of basic conventions. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Some minor errors in usage, but no patterns of errors ● Adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, sentence formation, and spelling
1	<p>The response is related to the topic but may demonstrate little or no awareness of the purpose, audience, and task; and it may have no discernible controlling idea and little or no discernible organizational structure. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Absent, confusing, or ambiguous idea ● Frequent extraneous ideas impeding understanding ● Few or no transitional strategies ● Too brief to demonstrate knowledge of focus or organization ● 	<p>The response provides minimal support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea, including little if any use of sources, facts, and details. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Minimal, absent, erroneous, or irrelevant evidence from the source material ● Expression of ideas that is vague, unclear, or confusing ● Limited or inappropriate language or domain-specific vocabulary ● Sentences limited to simple constructions ● 	<p>The response demonstrates a partial command of basic conventions. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Various errors in usage ● Inconsistent use of correct punctuation, capitalization, sentence formation, and spelling
0			<p>The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions, with frequent and severe errors often obscuring meaning.</p>

Grades 4-5 Opinion

Text-based Writing Rubric (Score points within each domain include most of the characteristics below)

Score	Purpose, Focus, and Organization (4-point Rubric)	Evidence and Elaboration (4-point Rubric)	Conventions of Standard English (2-point Rubric begins at score point 2)
4	<p>The response is fully sustained and consistently focused within the purpose, audience, and task; and it has a clearly stated opinion and effective organizational structure creating coherence and completeness. The response includes most of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly maintained opinion with little or no loosely related material • Skillful use of a variety of transitional strategies to clarify the relationships between and among ideas • Logical progression of ideas from beginning to end with a satisfying introduction and conclusion 	<p>The response provides thorough and convincing support/ evidence for the writer's opinion that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details. The response includes most of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevant evidence integrated smoothly and thoroughly with references to sources • Effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques, demonstrating understanding of the topic and text • Clear and effective expression of ideas, using precise language • Academic and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose • Varied sentence structure, demonstrating language facility 	
3	<p>The response is adequately sustained and generally focused within the purpose, audience, and task; and it has an opinion and evident organizational structure with a sense of completeness. The response includes most of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A maintained opinion, though some loosely related material may be present • Adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety to clarify the relationships between and among ideas • Adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end with a sufficient introduction and conclusion 	<p>The response provides adequate support/evidence for the writer's opinion that includes the use of sources, facts, and details. The response includes most of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally integrated evidence from sources, though references may be general, imprecise, or inconsistent • Adequate use of some elaborative techniques • Adequate expression of ideas, employing a mix of precise and general language • Domain-specific vocabulary generally appropriate for the audience and purpose • Some variation in sentence structure 	

2	<p>The response is somewhat sustained within the purpose, audience, and task but may include loosely related or extraneous material; and it may have an opinion with an inconsistent organizational structure. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Partially focused opinion but insufficiently sustained or unclear ● Inconsistent use of transitional strategies with little variety ● Uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end and an inadequate introduction or conclusion 	<p>The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the writer's opinion that includes ineffective use of sources, facts, and details. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Weakly integrated evidence from sources and erratic or irrelevant references ● Repetitive or ineffective use of elaborative techniques ● Imprecise or simplistic expression of ideas ● Inappropriate or ineffective domain-specific vocabulary ● Sentences possibly limited to simple constructions 	<p>The response demonstrates an adequate command of basic conventions. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Some minor errors in usage, but no patterns of errors ● Adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, sentence formation, and spelling
1	<p>The response is related to the topic but may demonstrate little or no awareness of the purpose, audience, and task; and it may have no discernible opinion and little or no discernible organizational structure. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Absent, confusing, or ambiguous opinion ● Frequent extraneous ideas impeding understanding ● Few or no transitional strategies ● Too brief to demonstrate knowledge of focus or organization 	<p>The response provides minimal support/evidence for the writer's opinion, including little if any use of sources, facts, and details. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Minimal, absent, erroneous, or irrelevant evidence from the source material ● Expression of ideas that is vague, unclear, or confusing ● Limited or inappropriate language or domain-specific vocabulary ● Sentences limited to simple constructions 	<p>The response demonstrates a partial command of basic conventions. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Various errors in usage ● Inconsistent use of correct punctuation, capitalization, sentence formation, and spelling
0			<p>The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions, with frequent and severe errors often obscuring meaning.</p>